

## Problem 3.29

Consider operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  that do not commute with each other ( $\hat{C} = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ ) but do commute with their commutator:  $[\hat{A}, \hat{C}] = [\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = 0$  (for instance,  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$ ).

(a) Show that

$$[\hat{A}^n, \hat{B}] = n\hat{A}^{n-1}\hat{C}.$$

*Hint:* You can prove this by induction on  $n$ , using Equation 3.65.

(b) Show that

$$[e^{\lambda\hat{A}}, \hat{B}] = \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\hat{C},$$

where  $\lambda$  is any complex number. *Hint:* Express  $e^{\lambda\hat{A}}$  as a power series.

(c) Derive the **Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula**:<sup>37</sup>

$$e^{\hat{A}+\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}}e^{\hat{B}}e^{-\hat{C}/2}.$$

*Hint:* Define the functions

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})}, \quad \hat{g}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}.$$

Note that these functions are equal at  $\lambda = 0$ , and show that they satisfy the same differential equation:  $d\hat{f}/d\lambda = (\hat{A} + \hat{B})\hat{f}$  and  $d\hat{g}/d\lambda = (\hat{A} + \hat{B})\hat{g}$ . Therefore, the functions are themselves equal for all  $\lambda$ .<sup>38</sup>

## Solution

### Part (a)

The aim is to use the principle of mathematical induction to show that

$$[\hat{A}^n, \hat{B}] = n\hat{A}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

Start by checking the base case  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}^1, \hat{B}] &\stackrel{?}{=} 1\hat{A}^{1-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] &\stackrel{?}{=} \hat{A}^0[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \hat{I}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \end{aligned}$$

<sup>37</sup>This is a special case of a more general formula that applies when  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  do *not* commute with  $\hat{C}$ . See, for example, Eugen Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd edn, Wiley, New York (1998), page 40.

<sup>38</sup>The product rule holds for differentiating operators as long as you respect their order:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} [\hat{A}(\lambda)\hat{B}(\lambda)] = \hat{A}'(\lambda)\hat{B}(\lambda) + \hat{A}(\lambda)\hat{B}'(\lambda). \quad (3.105)$$

Now make the inductive hypothesis,

$$[\hat{A}^k, \hat{B}] = k\hat{A}^{k-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

It must be shown that

$$[\hat{A}^{k+1}, \hat{B}] = (k+1)\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

Work with the left side and use the commutator identity in Equation 3.65,

$$[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A} [\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] \hat{B}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}^{k+1}, \hat{B}] &= [\hat{A}\hat{A}^k, \hat{B}] \\ &= \hat{A} [\hat{A}^k, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k \\ &= \hat{A} (k\hat{A}^{k-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]) + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k \\ &= k\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Use induction again to prove the intermediate result,

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^n = \hat{A}^n [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

Start by checking the base case,  $n = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^1 &\stackrel{?}{=} \hat{A}^1 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A} &\stackrel{?}{=} \hat{A} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ \hat{C}\hat{A} &= \hat{A}\hat{C} \end{aligned}$$

Now make the inductive hypothesis,

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k = \hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

It must be shown that

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^{k+1} = \hat{A}^{k+1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

Work with the left side.

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^{k+1} &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}\hat{A}^k \\ &= \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{A}^k \\ &= \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{A}^k \\ &= \hat{A} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k \\ &= \hat{A} (\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]) \\ &= \hat{A}^{k+1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^n = \hat{A}^n [\hat{A}, \hat{B}],$$

and equation (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}^{k+1}, \hat{B}] &= k\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A}^k \\ &= k\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ &= (k+1)\hat{A}^k [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction,

$$[\hat{A}^n, \hat{B}] = n\hat{A}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

### Part (b)

The aim here is to prove that

$$[e^{\lambda\hat{A}}, \hat{B}] = \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

Work with the left side.

$$[e^{\lambda\hat{A}}, \hat{B}] = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\hat{A})^i}{i!}, \hat{B} \right] \tag{2}$$

Use induction to prove the intermediate result,

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^n [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}].$$

Start by checking the base case,  $n = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^0 \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] &\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{i=0}^0 [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}] \\ [\hat{M}_0, \hat{N}] &= [\hat{M}_0, \hat{N}] \end{aligned}$$

Now make the inductive hypothesis,

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^k \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^k [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}].$$

It must be shown that

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}].$$

Work with the left side and use the commutator identity in Equation 3.64,  
 $[\hat{A} + \hat{B}, \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] &= \left[ \sum_{i=0}^k \hat{M}_i + \hat{M}_{k+1}, \hat{N} \right] \\ &= \left[ \sum_{i=0}^k \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] + [\hat{M}_{k+1}, \hat{N}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}] + [\hat{M}_{k+1}, \hat{N}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}] \end{aligned}$$

By induction, then,

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^n [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}].$$

Take the limit of both sides as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{M}_i, \hat{N} \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [\hat{M}_i, \hat{N}]$$

As a result, equation (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{\lambda \hat{A}}, \hat{B}] &= \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \hat{A})^i}{i!}, \hat{B} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\lambda \hat{A})^i}{i!}, \hat{B} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\lambda^i \hat{A}^i}{i!}, \hat{B} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} [\hat{A}^i, \hat{B}] \\ &= \frac{\lambda^0}{0!} [\hat{A}^0, \hat{B}] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} [\hat{A}^i, \hat{B}] \\ &= [\hat{I}, \hat{B}] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!} (i \hat{A}^{i-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]) \\ &= \hat{I}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{I} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \hat{A}^{i-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]. \end{aligned}$$

Continue the simplification by substituting  $j = i - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{\lambda\hat{A}}, \hat{B}] &= \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} \hat{A}^j [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\hat{A})^j}{j!} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$[e^{\lambda\hat{A}}, \hat{B}] = \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

### Part (c)

Define

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g}(\lambda) = e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}.$$

Differentiate  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{f}}{d\lambda} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} \\ &= e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} \cdot \frac{d}{d\lambda} [\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})] \\ &= e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} (\hat{A}+\hat{B}) \end{aligned}$$

An operator commutes with an exponential function of itself.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{f}}{d\lambda} &= (\hat{A}+\hat{B}) e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} \\ &= (\hat{A}+\hat{B}) \hat{f} \end{aligned}$$

Now differentiate  $\hat{g}(\lambda)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{g}}{d\lambda} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}) \\ &= \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{\lambda\hat{A}}) \right] e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{\lambda\hat{B}}) \right] e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} (e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}) \right] \\ &= \left[ e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \cdot \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda\hat{A}) \right] e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \left[ e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \cdot \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda\hat{B}) \right] e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \left[ e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \cdot \frac{d}{d\lambda} (-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2) \right] \\ &= \left[ e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \cdot (\hat{A}) \right] e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \left[ e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \cdot (\hat{B}) \right] e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \left[ e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \cdot (-\lambda\hat{C}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

An operator commutes with an exponential function of itself.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[ (\hat{A}) \cdot e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \right] e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \left[ (\hat{B}) \cdot e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \right] e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} - \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \left[ (\hat{C}) \cdot e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \right] \\ &= \hat{A} e^{\lambda\hat{A}} e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + (e^{\lambda\hat{A}} \hat{B}) e^{\lambda\hat{B}} e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} - \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}} (e^{\lambda\hat{B}} \hat{C}) e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \end{aligned}$$

Use the result of part (b) in the second term. Since  $\hat{C}$  commutes with  $\hat{B}$ ,  $\hat{C}$  commutes with  $e^{\lambda\hat{B}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{g}}{d\lambda} &= \hat{A}e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + \left(\lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\hat{C} + \hat{B}e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\right)e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} - \lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\left(\hat{C}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}\right)e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \\ &= \hat{A}e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} + \cancel{\lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\hat{C}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}} + \hat{B}e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} - \cancel{\lambda e^{\lambda\hat{A}}\left(\hat{C}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}\right)e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}} \\ &= (\hat{A} + \hat{B})e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2} \\ &= (\hat{A} + \hat{B})\hat{g} \end{aligned}$$

Both  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  satisfy the same ODE with the same initial condition at  $\lambda = 0$ , so  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \hat{g}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda$ .

$$e^{\lambda(\hat{A}+\hat{B})} = e^{\lambda\hat{A}}e^{\lambda\hat{B}}e^{-\lambda^2\hat{C}/2}$$

Therefore, setting  $\lambda = 1$ ,

$$e^{\hat{A}+\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}}e^{\hat{B}}e^{-\hat{C}/2}.$$